| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|--|--|--|
|  | , 09/711,025   | BRECHER ET AL.   |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | Jean B Corrielus   | 2637   |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application  | on:  |
| (1) <u>Jean B Corrielus</u> .  | (3)  |  |
| (2) <u>Abdul Zindani</u> .   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: 2 February 2005   | Time:  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .   | pplicant's representative)                                     |  |
| Part I.  |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |  |  |
| Claims discussed:  1, 10, 29 and 31  Prior art documents discussed:  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Part II.   |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G<br>Applicant's rep. agrees to amend the above claims as indic<br>necessary to correct for some minor informalities so as to p  | ated in the attached examiner's                                | amendment. Such amendment is   |
| Part III.  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul> | n. The examiner will provide a<br>rate record of the substance | a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (App  | licant/Applicant's Representa                                  | tive Signature – if appropriate)   |